

Lecture 10: Basis and dimension, part II ①  
[FIS §1.6]

Last time:  $V$  vector space,  $S \subseteq V$ .

$S$  spans  $V$ :  $\text{span}(S) = V$ .

$S$  is a basis for  $V$ :  $S$  is linearly indep. and spans  $V$ .

Today: Will show:

Thm A: If  $V$  has a finite basis, then any two bases for  $V$  have the same number of vectors.

Thm B: Suppose  $W$  is a subspace of a finite dim'l vector space  $V$ . Then  $\dim W \leq \dim V$ .  
Moreover,  $\dim W = \dim V$  if and only if  $W = V$ .

Replacement Thm: Suppose  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$  spans  $V$ , and  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\} \subseteq V$  is linearly independent. Then  $k \leq n$  and there is a subset  $T \subseteq S$  of size  $n-k$  such that  $U \cup T$  spans  $V$ .

(2)  
[Explain name. Mention notion implies that the  $s_i$  and  $u_j$  are distinct. Before proving this, let's derive Thm A from it.]

Pf of Thm A: Suppose  $\beta_1$  is a finite basis for  $V$ , and  $\beta_2$  any basis. Set  $n = \#\beta_1$ . If  $\beta_2$  was infinite, it would contain a subset  $U$  of  $k = n+1$  linearly indep. vectors. As  $\text{span}(\beta_1)$  is  $V$ , this would violate the Replacement Thm; thus  $\beta_2$  must be finite. Set  $k = \#\beta_2$ .

The Rep. Thm with  $S = \beta_1$  and  $U = \beta_2$  gives us that  $k \leq n$ . With  $S = \beta_2$  and  $U = \beta_1$ , it implies  $n \leq k$ . So  $n = k$  as needed.  $\square$

Proof of Repl. Thm: Can assume  $n > 0$ . Will induct on  $k$ .

Base case:  $k = 0$ , i.e.  $U = \emptyset$ . Then  $k \leq n$  and we just take  $T = S$ .

Inductive step: Suppose  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}\}$  ③

is linearly indep. and we know  $k \leq n$  and that

$$I = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \cup \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-k}\} \text{ spans } V.$$

[Note: implicitly relabeled the  $s_i$ ]

Must show:  $k+1 \leq n$  and can replace one  $s_i$  with  $u_{k+1}$  and still span.

As  $I$  spans  $V$ , there are scalars where

$$u_{k+1} = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k + b_1 s_1 + \dots + b_{n-k} s_{n-k}.$$

Can't have all  $b_i = 0$  or we would get a linear dependence among the  $u_i$ . Relabel so that  $b_{n-k} \neq 0$ . In particular  $n-k \geq 1$

$\Rightarrow k+1 \leq n$  as needed. Also, dividing

through by  $b_{n-k}$  we get that

$$s_{n-k} \in \text{Span} \underbrace{\{u_1, \dots, u_k, s_1, \dots, s_{n-k-1}\}}_J$$

So

HW!

(4)

$$\text{span}(J) = \text{span}(J \cup \{s_{n-k}\}) \supseteq \text{span}(I) = V$$

Thus  $\text{span}(J) = V$  and we have successfully replaced one  $s_i$  by  $u_{k+1}$ , completing the induction. ▣

Proof of Thm B: Let  $\beta_V$  be a finite basis for  $V$ . If  $W$  has a finite basis  $\beta_W$

then by the Repl. Thm

number of elts.

$$(a) \# \beta_W \leq \# \beta_V$$

(b) If  $\# \beta_W = \# \beta_V$ , then  $\beta_W \cup \emptyset$  spans  $V$ , that is,  $W = V$ .

Finding  $\beta_W$ : If  $W = \{0\}$  there's nothing to prove. Otherwise, pick  $w_1 \neq 0$  in  $W$ .

If  $\text{span}(w_1) = W$ , then  $\{w_1\}$  is our basis.

(5)

Otherwise, pick  $w_2 \in W$  which is not in  $\text{span}(w_1)$ . Note that  $\{w_1, w_2\}$  is linearly independent. Repeating, we construct  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \subseteq W$  which is linearly indep, and can increase the size by one unless  $\text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} = W$ .

By the replacement thm,  $k \leq \#\beta_V$ , so we eventually build a finite basis for  $W$ . This completes the proof of Thm B. 

Cor: Suppose  $\dim V = n < \infty$ . Then

a) Any linearly indep subset of  $V$  has size  $\leq n$ .

b) For a subset  $S$  of size  $n$ , the following are equivalent:

- i)  $S$  is a basis
- ii)  $S$  is linearly indep
- iii)  $S$  spans  $V$ .

(6)

Pf: a) is direct from the Repl. Thm

b) Have  $\overset{\text{Last time}}{ii)} \Rightarrow \overset{\text{Def.}}{i)} \Rightarrow iii)$ . So it

remains to show  $iii) \Rightarrow ii)$ . If  $S$

were linearly dependent, could span  $V$

with  $< n$  vectors  $\Rightarrow \dim V < n$ .

So  $S$  must be linearly independent.

Cor: Suppose  $W$  is a subspace of a finite dim'd  $V$ . Any basis for  $W$  can be extended to one for  $V$ .

